

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/724,000	Applicant(s) POLVERINO ET AL.	
	Examiner Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1643	

All Participants:

(1) Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.

(2) Isadora F. Bielsky, Ph.D.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112, first paragraph rejection of claim 14; 102(e) rejection of claim 14, 46, and 47

Claims discussed:

9, 13, 14, 16, 46, 47, 57, 59-61, 63, and 64

Prior art documents discussed:

U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0068319 A1

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner discussed the reasons that the after-final amendment filed August 8, 2007, would not place this application in condition for allowance, but also suggested entry of an examiner's amendment that would. Dr. Bielsky authorized entry of the proposed Examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)